# High Perceptual Quality Image Denoising with a Posterior Sampling CGAN

Guy Ohayon<sup>†</sup> Theo Adrai<sup>†</sup> Gregory Vaksman<sup>†</sup> Michael Elad<sup>‡</sup> Peyman Milanfar<sup>‡</sup> <sup>†</sup>Technion, Israel Institute of Technology <sup>‡</sup>Google Research

### **Contributions**

- A stochastic image denoising scheme producing visually pleasing images while ensuring high PSNR performance
- A revision of CGAN training framework which alleviates mode collapse
- A simple way to traverse the perception-distortion tradeoff at inference time

## Background

**Problem Statement**: Given a noisy image y of a clean source x, obtain  $\hat{x}$ , a natural looking image "close" to x**Solution**: Sample from the posterior distribution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sim \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}}$ , which is known to produce perfect perceptual quality images with MSE performance twice that of the MMSE estimator [1]

# Method

Loss: We sample from the posterior by optimizing the CGAN objective [3]  $\mathcal{L}_{CGAN}$ . We introduce an additional penalty term which maintains the global optimum of  $\mathcal{L}_{CGAN}$  but significantly eases training and avoids mode collapse:

$$\theta^* = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{CGAN} + \lambda \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} \left[ \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}} \left[ G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y}) |\mathbf{y}] \|_{2}^{2} \right]$$

Architecture: Inspired by StyleGAN2 [2] and UNet [4], our denoiser is an encoder-decoder deep neural network:



- The encoder gradually down-scales the input image to extract both global and local details. The features of each down-scaling stage are passed to the corresponding stage of the decoder
- The decoder transforms the features of each stage into a "residual" RGB image, which is added to the up-sampled version of the RGB image from the previous stage
- Stochastic noise is injected at each stage of the decoder to generate scale-specific details in the denoised image



#### **Traversing the percetion-distortion tradeoff**



#### **PSNR and FID comparison**

σ	One instance		64 instances mean		Ours-MSE		DnCNN	
	PSNR	FID	PSNR	FID	PSNR	FID	PSNR	FID
25	29.19	$12.66 \pm 0.07$	31.46	27.48	31.83	31.48	31.77	36.80
50	25.83	$\textbf{15.18} \pm 0.15$	28.28	31.81	28.44	41.56	28.30	42.97
75	24.09	$15.78 \pm 0.13$	26.57	34.64	26.81	46.31	26.46	47.69

#### Did we really obtain a denoiser?

We traverse the perception distortion tradeoff by varying at inference time:

- $\sigma_z$ , the standard deviation of the noise injected to our stochastic denoiser
- N, the number of instances that are being averaged to produce the resulting image

We confirm that our denoiser does not generate improper details by assessing the following properties both globally and locally:

- The remainder noise  $\mathbf{y} \mathbf{\hat{x}}$  should be normally distributed
- The "energy" ( $L_2$  norm) of the residual  $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{\hat{x}}$  should be much lower than that of the ground truth noise



## References

- Yochai Blau and Tomer Michaeli. The perception-distortion tradeoff. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, June 2018.
- Tero Karras et al. Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, June 2020.
- Mehdi Mirza and Simon Osindero. Conditional generative adversarial nets. arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1784, 2014.
- [4] O. Ronneberger, P.Fischer, and T. Brox. U-net: convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, volume 9351 of LNCS, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- Kai Zhang et al. Beyond a gaussian denoiser: residual learning of deep cnn for image denoising. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 26(7):3142–3155, 2017.





The Henry and Marilyn Taub **Faculty of Computer Science** 

