

## Lecture 12

---

What we did in Lecture 11

- We studied the distinctive power of graph polynomials and graph parameters
- We studied examples
- We introduced a comparison of graph polynomials via their coefficients
- We showed the equivalence of the two notions of comparability
- We studied more examples

## Out line of Lecture 12

---

- Some computations of graph polynomials
- Discovering linear recurrence relations
- Recursively defined families of graphs
- **MSOL**-definable graph polynomials
- A general theorem about linear recurrence relations for recursively defined families of graphs and **MSOL**-definable graph polynomials

## Main theme

---

We deal with a purely **graph theoretical** problem:

Given a **regularly constructed indexed family of graphs**  $G_n$  such as the paths  $P_n$ , the circles  $C_n$ , the wheels  $W_n$ , the cliques  $K_n$ , the grids  $Grid_{m,n}$

and a **graph polynomial**  $P$ , such as the matching, Tutte, clique, cover polynomial

**compute all the values**  $P(G_n)$ .

Often we have a (linear) recurrence relation, i.e. there is  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , and polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_q \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{X}]$  such that for sufficiently large  $n$

$$P(G_{n+q+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i \cdot P(G_{n+i})$$

## When is this the case?

We shall see that methods from **LOGIC** help clarifying the situation.

## Case study: The chromatic polynomial

---

For a graph  $G$ , the **chromatic polynomial**  $\chi(G, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$  is the polynomial such that for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  the value of  $\chi(G, t)$  is the number of  $t$ -vertex colorings of  $G$ .

Let  $P_n$  be the path and  $K_n$  the complete graph on  $n$  vertices,

We compute  $\chi(P_n, \lambda)$  and  $\chi(K_n, \lambda)$ .

- $\chi(P_1, \lambda) = \lambda$  and  $\chi(P_2, \lambda) = \lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1)$ .
- $\chi(P_{n+1}, \lambda) = \chi(P_n, \lambda) \cdot (\lambda - 1)$ .
- $\chi(K_{n+1}, \lambda) = \lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \dots (\lambda - n) = (\lambda - n) \chi(K_n - 1)$

For  $P_n$  we have a **linear recurrence relation independently of  $n$** ,  
for  $K_n$  the recurrence **depends on  $n$** . Can we find one **not** depending on  $n$ ?

## Homework

---

Compute the chromatic polynomial for the following families of graphs:

- The circles  $C_n$ ;
- The wheels  $W_n$ ;
- The ladders  $L_n$ ;
- The grids  $Grid_{n,m}$ .

## Case study: The clique and independent set polynomial

---

For a graph  $G$ , the **clique polynomial**  $cl(G, X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is defined by

$$cl(G, X) = \sum cl_k(G) \cdot X^k$$

where  $cl_k(G)$  is the number of  $k$ -cliques of  $G$ .

We compute  $cl(P_n, \lambda)$  and  $cl(K_n, \lambda)$ :

$$cl(P_{n+1})(X) = (1 + X) + cl(P_n)(X),$$

$$cl(K_{n+1})(X) = \sum_k^{n+1} \binom{n}{k} X^k = (X + 1)^{n+1} = (X + 1) \cdot cl(K_n)(X)$$

The **independent set polynomial**  $in(G, X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is defined by

$$in(G, X) = \sum in_k(G) \cdot X^k$$

where  $in_k(G)$  is the number of independent sets of  $G$  of size  $k$ .

**Homework:** Compute the linear recurrences for  $in(P_n)(X)$  and  $in(K_n)(X)$ .

## Case Study: The matching polynomial, I

---

For a graph  $G$ , the **matching polynomial**  $\mu(G, X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is defined by

$$\mu(G, X) = \sum m_k(G) \cdot X^k$$

where  $m_k(G)$  is the number of  $k$ -matchings of  $G$ .

We compute  $\mu(P_n, X)$ :

We use auxiliary polynomials

$$\mu^+(P_n, X) = \sum m_k^+(P_n) \cdot X^k$$

and

$$\mu^-(P_n, X) = \sum m_k^-(P_n) \cdot X^k$$

where  $m_k^+(P_n)$  and  $m_k^-(P_n)$  is the number of  $k$ -matchings of  $P_n$  which **includes**, respectively **excludes** the last vertex.

Clearly we have  $m_k(P_n) = m_k^+(P_n) + m_k^-(P_n)$  hence

$$\mu(P_n, X) = \mu^+(P_n, X) + \mu^-(P_n, X)$$

## Case study: The matching polynomial, II

---

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^-(P_{n+1}) &= \mu^-(P_n) + \mu^+(P_n) \\ \mu^+(P_{n+1}) &= X \cdot \mu^-(P_n)\end{aligned}$$

For  $\bar{\mu}_n = (\mu^-(P_n), \mu^+(P_n))^t$  we get

$$A\bar{\mu}_n = \bar{\mu}_{n+1}$$

with

$$a_{1,1} = 1, a_{1,2} = 1, a_{2,1} = X, a_{2,2} = 0$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{1} - A) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - X$$

so we get the linear recurrence relation (independent of  $n$ )

$$\mu(P_{n+2}) = \mu(P_{n+1}) + X \cdot \mu(P_n)$$



## Case study: The vertex-cover polynomial

---

For a graph  $G$ , the **vertex-cover polynomial**  $vc(G, X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is defined by

$$vc(G, X) = \sum vc_k(G) \cdot X^k$$

where  $vc_k(G)$  is the number of  $k$ -vertex-covers of  $G$ .

- $vc(P_{n+1}, X) = X \cdot vc(P_n, X) + X \cdot vc(P_{n-1}, X)$
- $vc(C_{n+1}, X) = X \cdot vc(C_n, X) + X^2 \cdot vc(C_{n-2}, X)$
- Let  $L_n$  be the graph which consists of  $n$  isolated loops.  
 $vc(L_{n+1}, X) = X \cdot vc(L_n, X) = X^n$
- For the wheel graph  $W_n$  we have  
 $vc(W_{n+1}, X) = X \cdot vc(W_n, X) + X^n = X \cdot vc(W_n, X) + X \cdot vc(L_n, X)$   
 hence, using the characteristic polynomial of the matrix,  $A = (a_{i,j})$  with  
 $a_{1,1} = a_{1,2} = a_{2,2} = X$  and  $a_{2,1} = 0$   
 $vc(W_{n+1}, X) = 2X \cdot vc(W_n, X) - X^2 \cdot vc(W_{n-1}, X)$

---

F.M. Dong, M.D. Hendy, K.L. Teo and C.H.C. Little, The vertex-cover polynomial of a graph, *Discrete Mathematics* 250 (2002), 71-78

## *P*-recursive families of graphs, I

---

**Definition 1** Let  $P$  be a graph polynomial and  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a family of graphs.  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be ***P*-recursive** if there is  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , and polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_q \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{X}]$  such that for sufficiently large  $n$

$$P(G_{n+q+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i \cdot P(G_{n+i})$$

Let  $P_n$  be the path on  $n$  vertices. We get, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

- for the chromatic polynomials:  $c(P_{n+1})(\lambda) = c(P_n, \lambda)(\lambda) \cdot (\lambda - 1)$ .
- for the clique polynomials:  
 $cl(P_{n+1})(X) = (1 + X) + cl(P_n)(X)$ ,  
 $cl(K_{n+1})(X) = \sum_k^{n+1} \binom{n}{k} X^k = (X + 1)^{n+1} = (X + 1) \cdot cl(K_n)(X)$
- for the matching polynomials:  $\mu(P_{n+1})(X) = X \cdot \mu(P_{n-1})(X) + \mu(P_n)(X)$ ,
- for the Tutte polynomials:  $T(P_{n+1})(X, Y) = Y \cdot T(P_n)(X, Y)$ .
- for the vertex-cover polynomials:  $vc(P_{n+1}, X) = X \cdot vc(P_n, X) + X \cdot vc(P_{n-1}, X)$

## $P$ -recursive families of graphs, II

---

The characteristic series  $\sigma_P(\mathcal{G})$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as

$$\sigma_P(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1} P(G_i) Z^i.$$

**Proposition 2 (Folklore)**  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $P$ -recursive iff the characteristic series

$$\sigma_P(\mathcal{G})$$

is a rational function in  $\bar{X}$  and  $Z$ .

## $T$ -recursive families, I

N.L. Biggs, R.M. Damerell and D.A. Sands, 1972

---

In 1972 N.L. Biggs, R.M. Damerell and D.A. Sands introduced recursive families of graphs.

These are our  $T$ -recursive families of graphs where  $T$  is the Tutte polynomial.

They show that several families of graphs are recursive (in their sense). Among them there are:

cycles, ladders and wheels

All these families have in common that they can be constructed from an initial graph by the repeated application of a fixed graph operation

---

N.L. Biggs, R.M. Damerell and D.A. Sands,  
Recursive families of graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 12 (1972), 123-131

## $T$ -recursive families, II

M. Noy and A. Ribó, 2004

---

In 2004 M. Noy and A. Ribó study which graph families  $G_n$ , constructed from an initial graph  $G_0$ , by the **repeated application of a fixed graph operation  $F(G)$** , are  **$T$ -recursive families of graphs**.

They introduce a notion of

**recursively constructible families of graphs**,

and show that every such family is  $T$ -recursive.

Their notion is reminiscent of certain graph grammars.

---

M. Noy and A. Ribó, Recursively constructible families of graphs, *Advances in Applied Mathematics* 32 (2004) 350-363.

## Using Logic

---

We use the finite model theory  
of Monadic Second Order Logic (MSOL)  
to extend these results in several ways:

- We prove that for every  $P$  from a wide class of graph polynomials, the MSOL-definable graph polynomials, every recursively constructible family  $G_n$  is  $P$ -recursive.
- We extend the result to the class of iteration families of graphs which is proper extension of the class of recursively constructible families.
- We extend the result to signed graphs and knot diagrams and to various knot polynomials.
- We extend the result to hypergraphs and relational structures.

## Iteration families, I

---

In the absence of the formalisms of graph grammars Noy and Ribó give an adhoc definition of

repeated fixed succession  
of elementary operations

which can be applied to a graph with a *context*, i.e. a labeled graph.

**Definition 3** *Let  $F$  denote such an operation.*

*Given a graph (with context)  $G$ , we put*

$$G_0 = G, G_{n+1} = F(G_n)$$

*Then the family*

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

*is called **recursively constructible** using  $F$ , or an  **$F$ -iteration family**.*

## Iteration families, II

---

Given a graph polynomial  $P$ ,

the question now is to find

a characterization of those operations  $F$ ,

for which a linear recurrence for the polynomials  $P(G_n)$  holds.

---

M. Noy and A. Ribó give only a **sufficient condition** for the case of the **Tutte polynomial**.



## General strategy

---

We proceed as outlined in the case of the matching and the vertex-cover polynomial.

To compute  $P(G_{n+1})$ , we try to find,

**depending** on  $P$  and, possibly, on  $G_0$ , but **independently of**  $n$

- an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- auxiliary polynomials  $P_i(G_{n+1}), i \leq m$ ,
- and a matrix  $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{X}]^{m \times m}$

such that

$$P_j(G_{n+1})(\bar{X}) = \sum_i a_{i,j}(\bar{X}) \cdot P_i(G_n)(\bar{X})$$

Then we use the **characteristic polynomial of the matrix**  $A$  to convert this into a **linear recurrence** relation.

## Where logic enters for the graph polynomial $P$ ?

---

**Definition 4** A polynomial  $P$  of the form

$$P(G) = \sum_{(V,E') \in K_1} \left( \prod_{E' \subseteq E} t(\bar{X}) \right)$$

or

$$P(G) = \sum_{(V',E|V') \in K_2} \left( \prod_{V' \subseteq V} t(\bar{X}) \right)$$

where  $t(\bar{X})$  is a fixed term in the indeterminates  $\bar{X}$

and  $K_1$  or  $K_2$  are definable in **Monadic Second Order Logic (MSOL)** is called an

***MSOL*-definable graph polynomials.**

There are **more general** versions of this definition, but **here** this suffices.

## *MSOL*-definable polynomials, I

---

The **clique and independent set polynomials** are **of the form**

$$P(G) = \sum_{(V', E|_{V'}) \in K_2} \left( \prod_{V' \subseteq V} X \right)$$

because saying that the induced subgraph  $G[V']$  is a clique or an independent set is *MSOL*-definable.

Rearranging the terms we get

$$cl(G) = \sum_{(V', E|_{V'}) \in Clique} \left( \prod_{V' \subseteq V} X \right) = \sum_k cl_k(G) \cdot X^k$$

and

$$in(G) = \sum_{(V', E|_{V'}) \in Indep} \left( \prod_{V' \subseteq V} X \right) = \sum_k in_k(G) \cdot X^k$$

**Note:** The second order variable for  $V'$  is needed.

## *MSOL*-definable polynomials, II

---

The **vertex-cover polynomials** are **of the form**

$$P(G) = \sum_{(V,E,V') \in K_2} \left( \prod_{V' \subseteq V} X \right)$$

because saying that  $V'$  is a vertex-cover of  $(V, E)$  is *MSOL*-definable.

Rearranging the terms we get

$$vc(G) = \sum_{(V,E,V') \in VC} \left( \prod_{V' \subseteq V} X \right) = \sum_k vc_k(G) \cdot X^k$$

**Note:** The second order variable for  $V'$  is again needed.

## *MSOL*-definable polynomials, III

---

The **generating matching polynomials** are **of the form**

$$gm(G) = \sum_{(V, E') \in \text{Matching}} \left( \prod_{E' \subseteq E} X \right)$$

However, being a matching is

- **NOT** *MSOL*-definable if graphs are represented as  $G = (V, E)$ .
- but **IS** *MSOL*-definable, if the graph is represented by its incidence graph  $I(G) = (V \cup E, R)$ .

For the **Tutte polynomial**, we have to add a linear order on the edges, to make it *MSOL*-definable, and note, that the Tutte polynomial is then independent of the order on the edges.

Where logic enters for the operation  $F$ ?

Ehrenfeucht games again

---

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be two  $\tau$ -structures.

**Recall:** We write  $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_q^{MSOL} \mathfrak{B}$ , if  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  cannot be distinguished by  $MSOL(\tau)$ -formulas of quantifier rank  $q$ .

### Definition 5

An operation  $F$  on  $\tau$ -structures is ***MSOL-smooth*** if whenever  $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_q^{MSOL} \mathfrak{B}$ , then also  $F(\mathfrak{A}) \equiv_q^{MSOL} F(\mathfrak{B})$ .

The operation  $F$  should be *MSOL-smooth* for the **presentation of the graphs**, for which the polynomial is *MSOL-definable*.

## MSOL-smooth operations: Examples

---

Here graphs are of the form  $G = (V(G), E(G))$ .

Let  $H = (V(H), E(H))$  be a fixed graph.

- The operation  $D_H(G) = G \sqcup H$  is **MSOL**-smooth.
- The operation  $J_H(G) = G \bowtie H$  is **MSOL**-smooth.
- The cliques  $K_n$  are an iteration family for the operation  $J_{K_1}(G)$  with  $G_0 = K_1$ .
- For forming the cliques  $K_n$  we need the operation of adding a vertex connected to all previous vertices.  
 $J_{K_1}(G)$  is *MSOL*-smooth for  $G = (V(G), E(G))$   
 but not for  $I(G) = (V(G) \cup E(G), R(G))$ .

## $k$ -structures

---

**Recall:** A vocabulary  $\tau$  is a set of relation symbols.

A  $\tau$ -structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an interpretation of the vocabulary  $\tau$  over a **non-empty** universe  $A$ .

**Definition 6** For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a  $k$ - $\tau$ -structure is a  $\tau$ -structure with  $k$  additional unary relations  $C_1^A, \dots, C_k^A$ , called colors.

We denote by  $\tau_k$  the vocabulary  $\tau \cup \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ .



## Basic operations on $k$ - $\tau$ -structures, I

---

### Definition 7 (Adding a new colored element)

For  $i \leq k$ , the operation  $Add_{C_i}(\mathfrak{A})$  *adds a new element* to  $A$  of color  $C_i$ .

More precisely, let  $b \notin A$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \{b\}, C_i^{\mathfrak{B}} = \{b\} \rangle$ .

Then  $Add_{C_i}(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A} \sqcup \mathfrak{B}$

**Proposition 8**  $Add_{C_i}(\mathfrak{A})$  is MSOL-smooth.

## Basic operations on $k$ - $\mathcal{T}$ -structures, II

---

### Definition 9 (Recoloring)

For  $i, j \leq k$ , the operation  $\rho_{i,j}(\mathfrak{A})$  *recolors* all elements of  $A$  of color  $i$  with color  $j$ .

More precisely, if the colors in  $\mathfrak{A}$  are  $C_1^A, \dots, C_k^A$  then  $\rho_{i,j}(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{B}$  has colors new colors  $C_i^B = \emptyset$  and  $C_j^B = C_i^A \cup C_j^A$  and all other colors and relations remain unchanged.

**Proposition 10**  $\rho_{i,j}(\mathfrak{A})$  is MSOL-smooth.

## Basic operations on $k$ - $\tau$ -structures, III

---

### Definition 11 (Adding tuples to relations)

The operation  $\eta_{R,i_1,\dots,i_m}(\mathfrak{A})$  is defined as follows:

For  $R \in \tau$  an  $m$ -ary relation symbol  
and for each  $a_1 \in C_{i_1}^A, \dots, a_m \in C_{i_m}^A$   
we add the tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  to  $R^A$ .

**Proposition 12**  $\eta_{i,j}(\mathfrak{A})$  is MSOL-smooth.

## Basic operations on $k$ - $\tau$ -structures, IV

---

### Definition 13 (Deleting tuples from relations)

The operation  $\delta_{R, i_1, \dots, i_m}(\mathfrak{A})$  is defined as follows:

For  $R \in \tau$  an  $m$ -ary relation symbol  
and for each  $a_1 \in C_{i_1}^A, \dots, a_m \in C_{i_m}^A$   
we remove the tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  from  $R^A$ .

**Proposition 14**  $\delta_{i,j}(\mathfrak{A})$  is MSOL-smooth.

## Basic operations on $k$ - $\tau$ -structures, $\forall$

---

### Definition 15 (Quantifierfree transductions)

For each  $R \in \tau_k$  of arity  $\alpha(R)$  let  $\phi_R(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha(R)})$  be a **quantifierfree**  $\tau_k$ -formula with free first order variables as indicated.

Let  $\Phi = \langle \phi_R(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha(R)}) : R \in \tau_k \rangle$ .

The quantifier free transduction  $\Phi^*(\mathfrak{A})$  **redefines** all the predicates  $R^A$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  by  $\phi_R^A$ .

#### **Exercise:**

All of the previous operations are special cases of **Quantifierfree transductions**.

**Proposition 16** *Quantifier free transductions are MSOL-smooth.*

## *MSOL*-elementary and *MSOL*-smooth operations

---

**Definition 17** An operation  $F$  on  $\tau_k$ -structures is ***MSOL-elementary*** if  $F$  is a finite composition of basic operations on  $\tau_k$ -structures.

**Proposition 18** Let  $F$  be *MSOL*-elementary and  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  two  $\tau_k$  structures with  $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_q^{MSOL} \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $F(\mathfrak{A}) \equiv_q^{MSOL} F(\mathfrak{B})$ , hence,  $F$  is a *MSOL*-smooth.

**Proposition 19** Let  $F$  be *MSOL*-elementary and  $\mathcal{G}$  be an  $F$ -iteration family. Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is of bounded clique-width.

**Corollary 20** The families  $I(K_n)$ ,  $Grid_{n,n}$  are not of bounded clique-width. Hence they are **not**  $F$ -iteration families for any  $F$  which is *MSOL*-elementary.

## The Recurrence Theorem

E. Fischer and M. (2008)

---

**Theorem 21** *Let*

- *$F$  be an MSOL-smooth operation on  $\tau_k$ -structures.*
- *$P$  be a  $\tau$ -polynomial which is MSOL( $\tau$ )-definable.*
- *$\mathcal{A} = \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an  $F$ -iteration family of  $\tau$ -structures.*

*Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $P$ -recursive, i.e. there is  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , and polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_q \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{X}]$  such that for sufficiently large  $n$*

$$P(G_{n+q+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i \cdot P(G_{n+i})$$

## Proof ingredients

---

- For fixed  $q$  and a fixed number of free variables, there are, up to logical equivalence, only finitely many  $MSOL(\tau)$ -formulas of quantifier rank  $q$ .  
Let  $\bar{P} = (\bar{P}_1, \dots, \bar{P}_\alpha)$  be the vector of all  $MSOL(\tau)^q$ -definable polynomials.

- Feferman-Vaught Theorem for  $MSOL$ -definable graph polynomials

J.A. Makowsky, Algorithmic uses of the Feferman-Vaught Theorem,  
*Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 126 (2004), 159-213

- Bilinear version of the Feferman-Vaught Theorem for graph polynomials.  
With an  $MSOL$ -elementary operation  $F$  and a fixed  $q$  there is a matrix  $M_F$  such that

$$\bar{P}(F(G)) = M_F \cdot \bar{P}(G)$$

- Use the characteristic polynomial of  $M_F$ .



## Lecture 13 (Outline)

---

- More on transductions (with proofs)
- The Feferman-Vaught Theorem for **MSOL**-properties (with proofs)
- A Feferman-Vaught-like Theorem for **MSOL**-definable graph polynomials (with proofs)