Registration Form for Examiners for Examining a Ph.D. Candidacy

Student’s personal details:

First name: ___________________________ Last name: ___________________________

Research topic: ___________________________

Check ☑ in the appropriate boxes
☐ A concise description of the research proposal is attached
☐ The research topic is in the field of computer studies

Please note:

- The external examiner must be a professional expert in the field of the research topic who is not related to the candidate and is not the candidate’s direct appointee or subordinate.

- The external examiner must come from outside the Technion (in accordance with the Faculty procedure).

- At least one of the examiners must be of equal or superior rank to the supervisor.

- All examiners must be at senior lecturer rank or above, or at an equivalent rank in the research faculty, or other experts in the research field at a suitable level.

- Please note internal and external supplementary examiners and detail the considerations for prioritizing the examiners as necessary.

The final selection will be made by the Certification Studies Committee.

- Please complete all the details for the proposed examiners.

Date: ___________________________      Supervisor’s signature: ___________________________

To be completed by Limor: Average grade ________ Research grade ____________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Faculty/Dept.</th>
<th>University/Company</th>
<th>Expert in research area</th>
<th>Not related to candidate</th>
<th>Agreed to examine (not essential)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Responsible supervisor</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Faculty/Dept.</td>
<td>University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Joint supervisor / consultant</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Faculty/Dept.</td>
<td>University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Internal examiner (compulsory)</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Faculty/Dept.</td>
<td>University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Internal examiner (compulsory)</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Faculty/Dept.</td>
<td>University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. External examiner (compulsory)</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Faculty/Dept.</td>
<td>University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6. Additional - compulsory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Faculty/Dept.</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Expert</th>
<th>Unrelated</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research area / specialization**

Examiner’s connection to research area and expected contribution:

Examiner’s connection to the unit/to the advisor/ to the student:

Additional comments + URL

☐ At least one of the examiners is at or above the supervisor’s rank

---

### Additional Potential Examiners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Faculty/Dept.</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Expert</th>
<th>Unrelated</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research area / specialization**

Examiner’s connection to research area and expected contribution:

Examiner’s connection to the unit/to the advisor/ to the student:

Additional comments + URL

---

### 7. Internal – (supplementary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Faculty/Dept.</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Expert</th>
<th>Unrelated</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research area / specialization**

Examiner’s connection to research area and expected contribution:

Examiner’s connection to the unit/to the advisor/ to the student:

Additional comments + URL

---

### 8. External –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Faculty/Dept.</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Faculty/Dept.</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**9. External – (supplementary)**

At least one external supplementary examiner

Research area / specialization

Examiner’s connection to research area and expected contribution:

Additional comments + URL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Faculty/Dept.</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Expert</th>
<th>Unrelated</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10. External (supplementary)**

At least one external supplementary examiner

Research area / specialization

Examiner’s connection to research area and expected contribution:

Additional comments + URL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Faculty/Dept.</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Expert</th>
<th>Unrelated</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments, additional details, and considerations for prioritizing examiners (incl. unavailable potential examiners):