

## Problem Definition

$\square$ Preprocess a planar map $S$.
Given a query point $p$, report the face of $S$ containing $p$.
$\square$ Goal: $\mathrm{O}(n)$-size data structure that enables $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ query time.

## $\square$ Application:

Which state is Baltimore located in?


Answer: Maryland

Trivial Solution: $O(n)$ query time, where $n$ is the complexity of the map.
(Question: Why is the query time only $\mathrm{O}(n)$ ?)

## Naïve Solution

Draw vertical lines through all the vertices of the subdivision.Store the $x$-coordinates of the vertices in an ordered binary tree.Within each slab, sort the segments separately along $y$.
$\square$ Query time: O(logn).
$\square$ Problem: Too delicate
 subdivision, of size $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ in the worst case.
(Give such an example!)


## The Trapezoidal Map

Construct a bounding box.
$\square$ Assume general position: unique $\times$ coordinates.
$\square$ Extend upward and downward the vertical line from each vertex until it touches another segment.
$\square$ This works also for noncrossing ' line segments.



## Complexity

$\square$ Theorem (linear complexity): A trapezoidal map of $n$ segments contains at most $6 n+4$ vertices and at most $3 n+1$ faces.

## $\square$ Proof:

1. Vertices:

$$
\underset{\uparrow}{2 n}+\underset{\uparrow}{4 n}+\underset{\uparrow}{\uparrow}=6 n+4
$$

2. Faces: Count Left( $\Delta$ ).

$$
\underset{\uparrow}{2 n}+\underset{\uparrow}{n}+\frac{1}{\uparrow}=3 n+1
$$

left e.p. right e.p. box


Question:
Why does the proof hold for "degenerate" situations?

## Map Data Structure

$\square$ Possibly by DCEL.

An alternative:
For each trapezoid store:
$\square$ The vertices that define its right and left sides;
$\square$ The top and bottom segments;
$\square$ The (up to two) neighboring trapezoids on right and left;
$\square$ (Optional) The neighboring trapezoids from above and below. This number might be linear in $n$, so only the leftmost
 of these trapezoids is stored.

## Search Structure: Branching Rules

$\square$ Query point $q$, search-structure node $s$.
$\square s$ is a segment endpoint:
$\square q$ is to the right of $s$ : go right;
$\square q$ is to the left of $s$ : go left;
$\square s$ is a segment:
$\square q$ is below $s$ : go right;

- $q$ is above $s$ : go left;




## Search Structure: Construction

$\square$ Find a Bounding Box.
$\square$ Randomly permute the segments.
Insert the segments one by one into the map.
$\square$ Update the map and search structure in each insertion.
$\square$ The size of the map is $\Theta(n)$. (This was proven earlier.)

- The size of the search
 structure depends on the order of insertion.


## Updating the Structures (High Level)

$\square$ Find in the existing structure the face that contains the left endpoint of the new segment. (*)
$\square$ Find all other trapezoids intersected by this segment by moving to the right. (In each move choose between two options: Up or Down.)Update the map $M_{i}$ and the search structure $D_{i}$.
(*) Note: Since endpoints may be shared by segments, we need to consider its segment while searching.


## Update M: General Case

$\square$ General Case: The $i^{\text {th }}$ segment intersects with $k_{i}>1$ trapezoids.
$\square$ Split trapezoids.
$\square$ Merge trapezoids that can be united.
$\square$ Total update time: $\mathrm{O}\left(k_{i}\right)$.




## Construction: Worst-Case Analysis

$\square$ Each segment adds trees of depth at most (4-1=) 3, so the depth of $D_{i}$ is at most $3 i$.
$\square$ Query time (depth of $D_{i}$ ): $\mathrm{O}(i), \Theta(i)$ in the worst case.
$\square$ The $i^{\text {th }}$ segment, $s_{i}$, may intersect with $\mathbf{O}(\boldsymbol{i})$ trapezoids $(\Theta(\boldsymbol{i})$ in the worst case)!
$\square$ The size of $D$ and its construction time are then bounded from above by

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} O(i)=O\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

Construction: Worst-Case Analysis (cont.) Worst-case example:


Construction: Worst-Case Analysis (cont.) Worst-case example:


## Construction: Worst-Case Analysis (cont.)

Worst-case example:


The size of $D$ and its construction time is in the worst case.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \Theta(1)+\sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n} \Theta(n)=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$



## Average-Case Analysis

$\square$ We first look for the expected depth of $D$.
$\square$ : A point, to be searched for in $D$.
$\square p_{i}$ : The probability that a new vertex was created in the path leading to $q$ in the $i^{\text {th }}$ iteration.

Compute $p_{i}$ by backward analysis:
$\square \Delta_{q}\left(M_{i-1}\right)$ : The trapezoid containing $q$ in $M_{i-1}$.
$\square$ Since a new vertex was created, $\Delta_{q}\left(M_{i}\right) \neq \Delta_{q}\left(M_{i-1}\right)$.
$\square$ Delete $s_{i}$ from $M_{i}$.
$p_{i}=\operatorname{Prob}\left[\Delta_{q}\left(M_{i}\right) \neq \Delta_{q}\left(M_{i-1}\right)\right] \leq 4 / i$. (Why?)

## Expected Depth of $D$

$\square x_{i}$ : The number of vertices created in the $i^{\text {th }}$ iteration in the path leading to the leaf $q$.


The expected length of the path leading to $q$ :

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}\left[x_{i}\right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(3 p_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{12}{i}=\mathrm{O}(\log n) .
$$



## Expected Size of $D$

Define an indicator

$$
\delta_{i}(\Delta, s)= \begin{cases}1 & \Delta \text { disappears from } M_{i} \text { if } s \text { is removed } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$k_{i}$ : Number of leaves created in the $i^{\text {th }}$ step.
$\square S_{i}$ : The set of the first $i$ segments.
$\square$ Average on $s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[k_{i}\right] & =\frac{1}{i} \sum_{s \in S_{i}}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in M_{i}} \delta_{i}(\Delta, s)\right)=\frac{1}{i}\left(\sum_{s \in S_{i}} \sum_{\Delta \in M_{i}} \delta_{i}(\Delta, s)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{i}\left(4\left|M_{i}\right|\right) \quad \text { (same backward analysis) } \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{O}(i)}{i}=\mathrm{O}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$




## Handling Degeneracies

What happens if two segment endpoints have the same $x$ coordinate?
$\square$ Use a shearing transformation:

$$
\varphi\binom{x}{y}=\binom{x+\varepsilon y}{y}
$$


$\square$ Higher points will move more to the right.
$\square \varepsilon$ should be small enough so that this transform will not change the order of two points with different $x$ coordinates.
In fact, there is no need to shear the plane. Comparison rules mimic the shearing.
$\square$ Prove: The entire algorithm remains correct.


